

**THE GLASGOW
SCHOOL OF ART**

The Scottish Higher Education Model Complaints Handling Procedure

Issued: August 2013

The Scottish Higher Education Model Complaints Handling Procedure

Foreword

This Complaints Handling Procedure reflects The Glasgow School of Art's commitment to valuing complaints. Our aim is to resolve issues of dissatisfaction as close to the initial point of contact as possible and to conduct thorough and fair investigations of complaints so that, where appropriate, we can make evidence-based decisions on the facts of each individual case.

Resolving complaints early saves time and resource and contributes to the overall efficiency of The Glasgow School of Art. Concentrating on achieving an early resolution of a complaint as close to the point of contact as possible will free up the time of academic and support staff and ultimately contribute to the continued positive experience of our students and members of the public.

What is a complaint?	1
Who can make a complaint?	2
Anonymous Complaints	2
Complaints involving more than one department	2
Complaints involving other organisations or contractors who provide a service on behalf of the Institution	3
Time limit for making complaints	3
The Complaints Handling Process	4
Stage One: frontline resolution – to be completed within 5 working days	5
Extension to the five day timeline	5
Closing the complaint at the frontline resolution stage	6
Stage two: investigation – to be completed within 20 working days	6
What the Institution will do when it receives a complaint for investigation	7
Timelines	7
Extension to the timeline	7
Mediation	8
Closing the complaint at the investigation stage	8
Independent external review (SPSO)	8
Information about the SPSO	8
Governance of the Complaints Handling Procedure	10
Roles and Responsibilities	10
Recording, reporting, publicising and learning	12
Recording complaints	12
Reporting of complaints	12
Publicising complaints performance information	13
Learning from complaints	13
Maintaining confidentiality	14
Managing unacceptable behaviour	14
Supporting the complainant	14
The complaints handling procedure	15

What is a complaint?

For the purpose of this procedure, a complaint may be defined as:

'An expression of dissatisfaction by one or more individuals about the standard of service, action or lack of action by or on behalf of the Institution.'

A complaint may relate to:

- the quality and standard of service
- failure to provide a service
- the quality of facilities or learning resources
- treatment by or attitude of a staff member, student or contractor
- inappropriate behaviour by a staff member, student or contractor
- the failure of the Institution to follow an appropriate administrative process
- dissatisfaction with the Institution's policy, although it is recognised that policy is set at the discretion of the Institution

The definition of a complaint is very broad and the list above is not exhaustive. However, not every concern raised with the Institution is a complaint. For example, the following **are not complaints**:

- a routine, first-time request for a service
- a request under the Freedom of Information (Scotland) Act or Data Protection Act
- a request for information or an explanation of policy or practice
- a request for compensation only
- a response to an invitation to provide feedback through a formal mechanism such as a questionnaire or committee membership will generally not be treated as a complaint
- an insurance claim
- an issue which is being, or has been, considered by a court or tribunal
- an attempt to have a complaint reconsidered where the Institution's procedure has been completed and a decision has been issued
- a grievance by a member of staff which is eligible for handling through the grievance procedure
- an appeal about an academic decision on assessment or admission.

These issues will be dealt with under the alternative appropriate processes rather than under the CHP. It should be noted, however, that some situations can involve a combination of issues, some are complaints and others are not, and each case should be assessed on a case by case basis.

Please see the following for further information:

- GSA Code of Procedure for Appeals (www.gsa.ac.uk/media/837103/gsa-code-of-procedure-for-appeals-2013-14.pdf)

-
- Freedom of Information (www.gsa.ac.uk/about-gsa/contact-us/foi-requests/)
 - Admissions Policy (www.gsa.ac.uk/media/722879/admissions_policy_feb_2013.pdf)
 - Student Misconduct Policy (www.gsa.ac.uk/media/495864/academic_misconduct_policy_october_2012.pdf)

Who can make a complaint?

The CHP covers complaints from anyone who receives, requests or is affected by our services. This includes, although is not limited to:

- a student's experience during their time at the Institution (all referred to as 'students' through the remainder of this document);
- members of the public, where they have a complaint about matters which are (or which were at the time the issue arose) the responsibility of the Institution; and
- members of the public who are applying for admission to the Institution and whose complaint does not relate to academic judgement.

The basic processes for investigating complaints are the same for students, members of the public and applicants to the Institution.

Sometimes individuals may be unable or reluctant to make a complaint on their own. The Institution will accept complaints brought by third parties, as long as the individual affected has given their personal consent under the requirements of the Data Protection Act (1998). This usually means that the individual affected must give clear written authority for the third party to act on their behalf. Complaints made by a third party with the explicit permission of the complainant will be dealt with according to the same timescales.

Anonymous Complaints

Complaints submitted anonymously will be considered if there is enough information in the complaint to enable the Institution to make further enquiries. If, however, an anonymous complaint does not provide enough information to enable us to take further action, we may decide not to pursue it further. However, the Institution may give consideration to the issues raised, and will record the complaint so that corrective action can be taken as appropriate.

Any decision not to pursue an anonymous complaint must be authorised by a Head of School/Board or the Member of the Executive Group responsible for the Support Department. If an anonymous complaint contains serious allegations, it should be referred to a Head of School/Board or the Member of the Executive Group responsible for the Support Department immediately.

Complaints involving more than one department

If a complaint relates to the actions of two or more schools/boards or support departments, the staff member receiving the complaint must confer with the other area(s) to decide who will take the lead on the complaint. The complainant will be told to whom the complaint is being passed and given their contact details. Coordination may still be required between different areas of the Institution to ensure that the complaint is fully addressed in a single response. The nature of the

complaint may also require parallel procedures to be initiated (such as academic appeal or disciplinary procedures).

Complaints involving other organisations or contractors who provide a service on behalf of the Institution

If an individual complains to the Institution about the service of another organisation, but the Institution has no involvement in the issue, the individual should be advised to contact the appropriate organisation directly.

Where a complaint relates to an Institution service and the service of another organisation the complaint must be handled through the CHP. In particular, the same timescales will apply. This relates to complaints that involve services provided on the Institution's behalf (such as partner institutions and contractors) or to those provided by a separate organisation (such as awards agencies). If enquiries to an outside organisation in relation to the complaint are required, care must be taken to comply with Data Protection legislation and the guidance on handling personal information. Such complaints may include, for example:

- A complaint made in relation to provision of third-party services, for example IT systems.
- A complaint made about a service that is contracted out, such as catering services.
- A complaint made to the Institution about a student loan where the dissatisfaction relates to the service we have provided and the service the Student Awards Agency for Scotland has provided.

Time limit for making complaints

Complaints should be raised with the Institution as soon as problems arise to enable prompt investigation and swift resolution. This CHP sets a time limit of six months to raise a complaint with the Institution, starting from when the complainant first became aware of the problem, unless there are special circumstances for requesting consideration of a complaint beyond this time.

Beyond the six-month time limit, the Institution will exercise discretion in the way that the time limit is applied. This will take account of the time limit within which a member of the public can normally ask the SPSO to consider complaints, which is twelve months from when the person first became aware of the issue about which they are complaining.


The Complaints Handling Process

The CHP is intended to provide a quick, simple and streamlined process with a strong focus on early resolution by empowered and well-trained staff.

The procedure involves up to two stages:

1 Frontline resolution seeks to resolve straightforward complaints swiftly and effectively at the point at which the complaint is made, or as close to that point as possible.

2 Investigation is appropriate where a complainant is dissatisfied with the outcome of frontline resolution, or where frontline resolution is not possible or appropriate due to the complexity or seriousness of the case.



Stage One: frontline resolution – to be completed within 5 working days

Anyone who has a complaint is encouraged to raise it initially at the point of, or as close to the point of, becoming aware of it as possible and to raise it with the department in which the issue arose. Complaints at this stage may be made face-to-face, by phone, in writing or by email.

The purpose of frontline resolution is to attempt to resolve as quickly as possible complaints which are straightforward and require little or no investigation. Complaints at this stage of the process may be addressed by any relevant member of the Institution's staff and may be handled by way of a face-to-face discussion with the complainant, or by asking an appropriate member of staff to deal with the complaint.

Members of staff to whom complaints are made will consider some key questions:

- Is this a complaint or should the individual be referred to another procedure?
- What specifically is the complaint (or complaints) about and which area(s) of the Institution is /are involved?
- What outcome is the complainant hoping for and can it be achieved?
- Is this complaint straightforward and likely to be resolved with little or no investigation?
- Can the complaint be resolved on the spot by providing an apology /explanation / alternative solution?
- Can another member of staff assist in seeking a frontline resolution?
- What assistance can be provided to the complainant in taking this forward?

Resolution may be achieved by providing an on-the-spot explanation of why the issue occurred and/or an apology and, where possible, what will be done to stop this happening in the future.

(Please see www.valuingcomplaints.org.uk/csa-guidance/apology/ for further guidance)

If responsibility for the issue being complained about lies in the staff member's area of work, every attempt will be made to resolve the problem at source. If responsibility lies elsewhere, the staff member receiving the complaint will liaise with the relevant area rather than simply passing the complainant on to another office.

Extension to the five day timeline

Frontline resolution should normally be completed within 5 working days, though a resolution may be achieved more quickly. In exceptional circumstances a short extension of time may be necessary to increase the possibility of resolving the complaint at the frontline resolution stage (for example, by obtaining information from other areas where no single area of the Institution is responsible for the issue(s) being complained about). Where an extension is required this must be signed off by an appropriate senior manager. The complainant must be told of the reasons for extending the deadline and advised of the new timescale for resolution. The maximum extension which can be granted is 5 working days (i.e. not more than 10 working days in total from the date of receipt of the complaint).

Closing the complaint at the frontline resolution stage

The outcome will be communicated to the complainant. This may be face-to-face, by phone, in writing or by email. There is no requirement to send out further written communication to the complainant, although you may decide to do so. The response to the complainant must address all the topics for which the Institution is responsible, and explain the reasons for the decision.

Once a decision has been issued, the record of the complaint must be updated on the recording system, including details of the decision reached. The complaint should then be closed.

Stage two: investigation – to be completed within 20 working days

These complaints may already have been considered at the frontline resolution stage, or they may be complaints identified upon receipt as appropriate for immediate investigation.

A complaint will be moved to the investigation stage when:

- frontline resolution was attempted, but the complainant remains dissatisfied. This may be after the case has been closed following the frontline resolution stage
- the complainant refuses to recognise or engage with the frontline resolution process and is insistent that the issue be addressed by a more senior officer
- the issues raised are complex and will require detailed investigation
- the complaint relates to issues that have been identified by the Institution as high risk or high profile.

Special attention will be given to identifying complaints considered high risk /high profile, as these may require particular action or may raise critical issues requiring direct input from senior management. Potential high risk /high profile complaints may:

- involve a death or terminal illness
- involve serious service failure, for example major delays in service provision or repeated failures to provide a service
- generate significant and on-going press interest
- pose a serious operational risk to the Institution
- present issues of a highly sensitive nature.

A person can make a complaint in writing, in person, by telephone, by email or online or by having someone complain on their behalf. Where it is clear that a complaint will be immediately considered at the investigation stage, the complainant may be encouraged to complete the appropriate complaint form to provide full details of the complaint and any relevant documentation. If they choose not to write it down and would prefer to complain in person, the complaint form can be completed with them and a letter to confirm the scope of the complaint issued to them.

The purpose of conducting an investigation is to establish all of the facts relevant to the points made in the complaint and to provide a full, objective and proportionate response to the complainant that represents the Institution's definitive position.

What the Institution will do when it receives a complaint for investigation

The Institution will allocate the complaint to a Complaints Investigator (see section 3 of this procedure). It is important to be clear from the start of the investigation stage exactly what is being investigated, and to ensure that both the complainant and the complaints investigator understand the scope of the investigation. In discussion with the complainant, three key questions should be considered:

1. What specifically is the complaint (or complaints)?
2. What does the complainant want to achieve by complaining?
3. Do the complainant's expectations appear to be reasonable and achievable?

If the complainant's expectations appear to exceed what the Institution can reasonably provide or are not within the Institution's power to provide, the complainant will be advised of this as soon as possible in order to manage expectations about possible outcomes.

Details of the complaint must be recorded on the system for recording complaints. Where the complaint has been through the frontline resolution stage this must be shown in the complaints log. At the conclusion of the investigation the log must be updated to reflect the final outcome and any action taken in response to the complaint.

The Central Complaints Register can be found at www.gsa.ac.uk/about-gsa/our-structure/academic-services/complaints/. Submission of the form will log the complaint centrally for reviewing and reporting purposes.

Timelines

The following deadlines will be used for cases at the investigation stage of the CHP:

- complaints will be acknowledged in writing within 3 working days
- the Institution will provide a full response to the complaint as soon as possible but not later than 20 working days from the time that the complaint was received for investigation.

Extension to the timeline

Not all investigations will be able to meet this deadline; for example some complaints are so complex that they will require careful consideration and detailed investigation beyond the 20 working days timeline. Where there are clear and justifiable reasons for extending the timescale, senior management will exercise judgement and will set time limits on any extended investigation, with the agreement of the complainant. If the complainant does not agree to an extension but it is unavoidable and reasonable, then senior management must consider and confirm the extension. In such circumstances, the complainant must be kept updated on the reason for the delay and given a revised timescale for bringing the investigation to a conclusion. It is expected, however,

that this will be the exception and that the Institution will always strive to deliver a definitive response to the complaint within 20 working days.

Where an extension has been agreed, this will be recorded appropriately and the proportion of complaints that exceed the 20 working day-limit will be evident from reported statistics.

Mediation

Some complex complaints (where, for example, the complainant and/or other involved parties have become entrenched in their position) may benefit from a different approach to resolving the complaint. Using mediation can help both parties to understand what is driving the complaint, and may be more likely to result in a mutually satisfactory conclusion being reached. Where the Institution and the complainant agree to mediation, revised timescales should be agreed.

Closing the complaint at the investigation stage

The outcome of the investigation will be communicated to the complainant in writing. The decision, and details of how and when it was communicated to the complainant, must be recorded on the system for recording complaints. The decision will also advise the complainant about:

- their right to ask the SPSO to review the complaint
- the time limit for doing so
- how to contact the SPSO

Independent external review (SPSO)

Once the investigation stage has been completed, the complainant is entitled to ask the SPSO to look at their complaint. The SPSO considers complaints from people who remain dissatisfied at the conclusion of the Institution's CHP. The SPSO looks at issues such as service failure and maladministration (administrative fault) as well as the way the Institution has handled the complaint.

The SPSO requires the Institution to use the wording below to inform complainants of their right to ask the SPSO to review the complaint.

Information about the SPSO

The Scottish Public Services Ombudsman (SPSO) is the final stage for complaints about public services in Scotland. This includes complaints about Scottish universities. If you remain dissatisfied with a university after its complaints process, you can ask the SPSO to look at your complaint. The SPSO cannot normally look at complaints:

- where you have not gone all the way through the university's complaints handling procedure
- more than 12 months after you became aware of the matter you want to complain about, or
- that have been or are being considered in court.

The SPSO's contact details are:

In person:

SPSO
4 Melville Street
Edinburgh
EH3 7NS

By post:

Freepost SPSO

Freephone: **0800 377 7330**

Online contact: **www.spsso.org.uk/contact-us**

Website: **www.spsso.org.uk**

Mobile site: **<http://m.spsso.org.uk>**

Governance of the Complaints Handling Procedure

Roles and Responsibilities

All staff will be aware of:

- the CHP
- how to handle and record complaints at the frontline resolution stage
- who they can refer a complaint to if they are unable to handle the matter personally
- the need to try and resolve complaints early and as locally (within their department) as possible and
- their clear authority to attempt to resolve any complaints they may be called upon to deal with.

Senior management will ensure that:

- the Institution's final position on a complaint investigation is signed off by an appropriate senior officer in order to provide assurance that this is the definitive response of the Institution and that the complainant's concerns have been taken seriously
- it maintains overall responsibility and accountability for the management and governance of complaints handling within the Institution
- it has an active role in, and understanding of, the CHP (although not necessarily involved in the decision making process of complaints handling)
- mechanisms are in place to ensure a consistent approach to the way complaints handling information is managed, monitored, reviewed and reported at all levels in the Institution, and
- complaints information is used to improve services, and this is evident from regular publications.

Director: The Director provides leadership and direction to the Institution. This includes ensuring that there is an effective CHP with a robust investigation process which demonstrates that organisational learning is in place. The Director may delegate responsibility for the procedure, but must receive assurance of complaints performance by way of regular reporting. They should also ensure that complaints are used to identify service improvements, and that these improvements are implemented, and learning fed back to the wider organisation as appropriate.

Heads of School/Board or the Member of the Executive Group responsible for the Support Department: May be involved in the investigation. As a senior officer they may be responsible for preparing and would normally sign response letters to complainants and therefore must be satisfied that the investigation is complete and that their response addresses all aspects of the complaint.

Complaints Investigator: The Complaints Investigator is a suitably trained staff member responsible for the conduct of the complaints investigation where the frontline resolution by a

member of staff is deemed unacceptable by the complainant. They are involved in the investigation and the co-ordination of all aspects of the response to the complainant. This may include preparing a comprehensive written report, including details of any recommended procedural changes to service delivery. Complaints Investigators must have a clear remit to investigate effectively and reach robust decisions on more complex complaints. This also requires clear direction and support from senior management on the extent and limits of discretion and responsibilities in investigating and resolving complaints, including the ability to identify failings, take effective remedial action and apologise, where it is appropriate to do so. An appropriate staff member will be nominated for each School/Board or grouping of support departments to serve this function.

All staff: A complaint may be made to any member of staff. All staff must, therefore, be aware of the CHP and how to handle and record complaints at the frontline resolution stage. They should also be aware of who to refer a complaint to, in case they are not able to personally handle the matter. We encourage all staff to try to resolve complaints early, as close to the point of service delivery as possible, and quickly to prevent escalation.

SPSO liaison officer (or officer with this responsibility): This staff member's role may include providing complaints information in an orderly, structured way within requested timescales, providing comments on factual accuracy on behalf of the Institution in response to SPSO reports, confirming recommendations have been implemented, and providing evidence to verify this.

Complaints about senior staff

Complaints about senior staff can be difficult to handle as there may be a conflict of interest for the staff investigating the complaint. When serious complaints are raised against senior staff it is particularly important that the investigation is conducted by an individual who is independent of the situation. We must ensure that there are strong governance arrangements in place that set out clear procedures for handling such complaints.

[Institutions may provide further guidance or examples in relation to the process for handling complaints about senior staff members. This can be inserted here or can be included in an annex to this document.]

Suggested channels for complaints about Senior Staff:

- Complaint about a member of the Executive Group is handled by a member of the Directorate
- Complaint about a member of the Directorate is handled by the Director
- Complaint about the Director is handled by the Chair of the Board of Governors

Recording, reporting, publicising and learning

Valuable feedback is obtained through complaints. One of the objectives of the CHP is to identify opportunities to improve provision of services across the Institution. Staff must record all complaints so that we can use the complaints data for analysis and management reporting. By recording and using complaints information in this way, the causes of complaints can be identified, addressed and, where appropriate, training opportunities can be identified and improvements introduced.

Recording complaints

To collect suitable data, it is essential that all complaints are recorded in sufficient detail. The minimum requirements are as follows:

- name and contact details of the complainant and student matriculation number (if applicable)
- date of receipt of the complaint
- how the complaint was received
- category of complaint
- staff member responsible for handling the complaint
- department to which the complaint relates
- action taken and outcome at frontline resolution stage
- date the complaint was closed at the frontline resolution stage
- date the investigation stage was initiated (if applicable)
- action taken and outcome at investigation stage (if applicable)
- date the complaint was closed at the investigation stage (if applicable)
- underlying cause and remedial action taken (if applicable)
- response times at each stage

The Institution has structured systems for recording complaints, their outcomes and any resulting action so that the complaint data can be used for internal reporting as indicated below.

All complaints (frontline and investigation) must be recorded on the Central Complaints Register can be found at www.gsa.ac.uk/about-gsa/our-structure/academic-services/complaints/. Submission of the form will log the complaint centrally for reviewing and reporting purposes.

Reporting of complaints

The Institution has a system for the internal reporting of complaints information. Regularly reporting the analysis of complaints information helps to inform management of where improvements are required. Information reported internally will include:

- performance statistics, detailing complaints volumes, types and key performance information, for example on time taken and stage at which complaints were resolved
- the trends and outcomes of complaints and the actions taken in response including examples to demonstrate how complaints have helped improve services.

This information will be reported at least quarterly to senior management and at least annually to the governing body.

Publicising complaints performance information

The Institution will publish on a quarterly basis a summary of complaints outcomes, trends and actions taken to improve services, with a focus on case studies and examples of how complaints have helped improve services. This may also include positive feedback from students and members of the public.

This demonstrates the Institution's approach to improving services on the basis of complaints and shows that complaints can influence our services. It also helps ensure transparency in our complaints handling service and will help to demonstrate to our students and members of the public that we value their complaints.

The Institution will report on complaints handling performance annually in line with SPSO requirements. This includes performance statistics showing the volume and type of complaints and key performance details, for example on the time taken and the stage at which complaints were resolved.

Complaints handling performance will be made public at www.gsa.ac.uk.

Learning from complaints

The Complaints Investigator will always satisfy themselves that all parties involved understand the findings of the investigation and any decisions made. Senior management will ensure that the Institution has procedures in place to act on issues that are identified. These procedures facilitate:

- using complaints data to identify the root cause of complaints
- taking action to reduce the chance of this happening again
- recording the details of corrective action in the complaints file
- systematically reviewing complaints performance reports to improve performance.

The analysis of management reports detailing complaints performance will help to ensure that any trends or wider issues which may not be obvious from individual complaints are quickly identified and addressed. Where the Institution identifies the need for service improvement:

- an officer (or team) will be designated the 'owner' of the issue, with responsibility for ensuring that any identified action is taken
- a target date will be set for the action to be implemented, and followed up on to ensure delivery within this timescale
- where appropriate, performance in the service area will be monitored to ensure that the issue has been resolved.

Maintaining confidentiality

Confidentiality is an important factor in conducting complaints investigations. The Institution will always have regard to any legislative requirements; for example, data protection legislation and also internal policies on confidentiality and the use of complainant information. Complaints will be handled with an appropriate level of confidentiality and information released only to those who need it for the purposes of investigating or responding to the complaint. No third party will be told any more about the investigation than is strictly necessary in order to obtain the information required from them.

Where a complaint has been raised against a student or member of staff and has been upheld, the complainant will be advised of this. However, it would not be appropriate to share specific details affecting specific students or staff members, particularly where disciplinary action is taken.

Managing unacceptable behaviour

It is recognised that people may act out of character in times of trouble or distress. The circumstances leading to a complaint may result in the complainant acting in an unacceptable way. Complainants who display difficult behaviour may still have a legitimate grievance, and the Institution must therefore treat all complaints seriously and assess them properly.

The actions of complainants who are angry, demanding or persistent may result in unreasonable demands on time and resources or unacceptable behaviour towards the Institution's staff. The Institution will, therefore, put into place policies and procedures to protect staff from such unacceptable behaviour. These policies and procedures will include the requirement to inform the complainant of any decision to restrict their access, their right of appeal, and any procedures for reviewing such a decision to restrict contact.

(Please see www.valuingcomplaints.org.uk/csa-guidance/unacceptable-actions/ for further information).

Supporting the complainant

Anyone who receives, requests or is directly affected by the services the Institution provides has the right to access the Institution's CHP. Complainants who do not have English as a first language may need help with interpretation and translation services. Other complainants may have specific needs which the Institution will seek to address to ensure easy access to the CHP by making reasonable adjustments to help the complainant. There are a number of support services available which can provide helpful support to those who wish to pursue a complaint with the Institution.

Please see the following for further information:

- www.gsa.ac.uk/life/student-support-services/
- www.citizensadvice.org.uk/

The complaints handling procedure

